## PSJ2 Exh 120

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	IN RE: NATIONAL : MDL No. 2804
	PRESCRIPTION OPIATE :
4	LITIGATION : Case No. 17-md-2804
	:
5	APPLIES TO ALL CASES : Hon. Dan A. Polster
	:
6	:
7	
8	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
9	SUBJECT TO FURTHER CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
10	
11	
12	JANUARY 4, 2019
13	
14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ANTHONY MOLLICA,
15	taken pursuant to notice, was held at Marcus &
16	Shapira, One Oxford Center, 35th Floor, Pittsburgh,
17	Pennsylvania 15219, by and before Ann Medis,
18	Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in
19	and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on Friday,
20	January 4, 2019, commencing at 8:06 a.m.
21	
22	GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
	877.370.3377 phone   917.591.5672 fax
23	deps@golkow.com
24	
25	
1	

- 1 of.
- 2 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Mr. Mollica, my questions are going to
- 4 be focused on pages 4, 5, 6 and 7 of this
- 5 document.
- A. Are these numbers or numbered?
- 7 Q. No, I'm sorry, I don't believe the pages
- 8 are numbered.
- 9 A. You said 4?
- 10 Q. Yeah, where it says Settlement Agreement
- 11 with the State Board of Pharmacy. Then underneath
- 12 that, Giant Eagle #4098.
- Do you agree or do you see at the front that
- these are minutes of the December 5 through 7,
- 15 2011 meeting of the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And Giant Eagle operated retail
- 18 pharmacies in Ohio; correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you know Kelly Chappell?
- 21 A. I'm familiar who she is.
- Q. Who is Kelly Chappell?
- A. A pharmacist.
- Q. And was she a pharmacist at a Giant
- 25 Eagle pharmacy in Ohio?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you see on the seventh page of
- 3 this document -- I apologize it's two sided -- do
- 4 you see the signature of Kelly Chappell there
- 5 dated 11/11/2011?
- A. I don't see a signature, no. I'm sorry.
- 7 Q. No problem.
- 8 A. I see a line where it has her name on
- 9 it, yes.
- 10 Q. You see the S?
- 11 A. Yes. Thank you.
- 12 Q. So it's an electronic signature.
- 13 A. Understood.
- MR. KOBRIN: Ty, do you know if it's
- related to the jurisdictional question?
- MR. HUDSON: I don't.
- 17 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 18 Q. If you could, just take a moment to look
- 19 at the section of these minutes that start
- 20 Settlement Agreement with the State Board of
- 21 Pharmacy, Docket Number D-110714-197, that page
- through the page which Ms. Chappell has signed.
- MR. KOBRIN: I have a standing objection
- to this because I think this incident and
- everything related to it occurred outside of the

- 1 City of Cleveland, County of Summit, County of
- 2 Cuyahoga and any other jurisdiction relevant to
- 3 this Track One case. I'm not sure how this is
- 4 relevant.
- 5 (Witness reviewed the exhibit.)
- 6 THE WITNESS: I've read it.
- 7 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Mr. Mollica, have you had a chance to
- 9 review the settlement agreement between Giant
- 10 Eagle and the State Board of Pharmacy?
- 11 A. The four pages that start on page 4 and
- end with Kelly Chappell's signature, yes.
- 13 Q. Yes. If you see on the first page
- 14 there, I guess a third of the way down on page 4,
- it starts out R2012-102 Settlement Agreement with
- 16 the State Board of Pharmacy.
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And before coming here today, were you
- 19 aware that Giant Eagle pharmacy entered into a
- 20 settlement agreement with the State Board of
- 21 Pharmacy in Ohio?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. KOBRIN: Objection.
- 24 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. You were aware of this particular

- 1 agreement?
- 2 A. I don't know the details of the
- 3 agreement, but I'm aware there was a situation in
- 4 that particular location and that we complied with
- 5 whatever the state board pieces were.
- 6 MR. KOBRIN: Ty, do you consent to our
- 7 standing objection on this being outside the
- 8 jurisdiction?
- 9 MR. HUDSON: Yes.
- 10 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 11 Q. Mr. Mollica, you've testified to your
- 12 knowledge, Giant Eagle always complied with the
- 13 law; right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. But this would be an example where the
- 16 State of Ohio Board of Pharmacy charged Giant
- 17 Eagle with not complying with the law; correct?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: That's actually not how
- 20 I'm reading it, no.
- 21 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 22 O. You don't read this as the State of Ohio
- 23 accusing Giant Eagle Pharmacy of not complying
- 24 with the law?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Could you direct me to a
- 2 particular passage where it says that Giant Eagle
- 3 was compliant with the law?
- 4 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 5 Q. Was not compliant with the law?
- 6 A. Could you direct me to a part there?
- 7 Q. I'll just walk you through. We'll go
- 8 through numbers two, three.
- 9 Number two says, "Giant Eagle Pharmacy #4098
- did from May 1, 2009 through January 21, 2011 fail
- 11 to provide effective and approved controls and
- 12 procedures to deter and detect theft and diversion
- of dangerous drugs, to wit: The following
- 14 controlled substances and dangerous drugs where
- stolen from the pharmacy, yet internal control
- 16 procedures failed to deter or detect the theft.
- 17 The drugs were stolen by an inadequately
- 18 supervised technician who admitted to a board
- 19 agent that the drugs were diverted to her addicted
- 20 husband and also sold to another individual."
- 21 A. I see that line, but I don't see a
- reference that it's not complying with the law.
- 23 It sounds like a criticism of the internal
- 24 control.
- Q. Who has the responsibility to create

- 1 internal controls?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Everyone including the
- 4 pharmacist, the company, everybody.
- 5 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 6 O. Who is the named party in this action
- 7 that's brought by the Ohio State Board of
- 8 Pharmacy?
- 9 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I don't know how to read
- 11 the legal document. Are you referring to Giant
- 12 Eagle 4098?
- 13 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 14 Q. Yeah. The legal entity is Giant Eagle;
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Yeah.
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 18 (HBC-Mollica Exhibits 6 7 were marked.)
- 19 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. As an example, I'll show you. Let me
- 21 mark a couple more. We can look at those and
- compare those. I hand you what I've marked
- 23 Exhibit 6. We'll mark this one as Exhibit 7.
- 24 If we take a look here, Exhibit 5 are minutes
- of the December 5 through 7, 2011 meeting of the

- 1 supervised technician who admitted to a Board
- 2 agent that the drugs were diverted to her addicted
- 3 husband and also sold to another individual."
- 4 Do you see that?
- 5 A. I see that.
- 6 O. And then down below, it's got a list of
- 7 drugs. Do you see there a series of hydrocodone
- 8 combination products?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Of different strengths; correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Then underneath that, it says, "Such
- conduct is in violation of Rule 4729-9-05 of the
- 14 Ohio Administrative Code."
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And this document shows Giant Eagle
- 17 entering into a settlement of these accusations;
- 18 correct?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That's how I would
- interpret it with the couple paragraphs I read.
- 22 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Sure. If Giant Eagle had the internal
- 24 control procedures in place like you talked about
- 25 that were tracking specifically each order as it

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Number two is one of -- you understand
- 3 that number two then below is one of the
- 4 allegations or charges that was made by the state
- 5 Board of Pharmacy against this store?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And that is that Giant Eagle Pharmacy
- 8 #4098 did from May 1, 2009 through January 21,
- 9 2011 fail to provide effective and approved
- 10 controls and procedures to deter and detect theft
- 11 and diversion of dangerous drugs, to wit: The
- 12 following controlled substances and dangerous
- drugs where stolen from the pharmacy, yet internal
- 14 control procedures failed to deter or detect the
- 15 theft; correct?
- 16 A. Yes. That's what it says.
- 17 O. Then number three also describes the
- 18 same. When it carries onto the next page, it
- 19 actually specifically lists the drugs that were
- 20 admitted by a technician to a Board agent that
- 21 that list of drugs were diverted to her addicted
- 22 husband and also sold to someone else; right? Do
- you understand that?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. That are those are the facts being